UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,274	07/19/2006	Fabio Vignoli	US040042US2	8760
	7590	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			DISTEFANO, GREGORY A	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2175		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/597,274	VIGNOLI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
GREGORY A. DISTEFANO	2175	

	GREGORT A. DISTEFANO	2173	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Aino event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi			e appeal. Since a
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They are not deemed to place the application in both	isideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or	er form for appear by materially rec	aucing of simplifying ti	ie issues ioi
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,	•
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 8-20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/William L. Bashore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2175			
Supervisory raterit Examiner, Art Offic 2175			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues on pages 12-15 that none of the prior art of record teach or suggest the limitation of "said user interface displaying the artist list for the user, and further comprising means for facilitating approval or modification of the artist list by a user.

The examiner respectfully disagrees.

Applicant directly states in the second paragraph on page 14 of their response that "it should be clear that the user interface in Porteus et al. enables the user to rate the artists in each block. However, there is no disclosure or suggestion of the user interface enabling the user to approve the artist list, or enabling the user to modify the list. Rather, the ratings given by the user MAY cause the Porteus et al. apparatus to modify or not modify the artist list". This admission by applicant mimics the examiner's interpretation of Porteus teaching "the ratings given by the user MAY cause the Porteus et al. apparatus to modify or not modify the artist list" which encompasses applicant's limitation. Not modifying the artist list using the method of Porteus is interpreted as a user approving a list in that the user does not change it in any way. Therefore, using the method of Porteus, the user may approve the list or choose to modify it.

The remainder of applicant's arguments are directed to newly purposed amendments and shall not be addressed at this time.